## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

T1	 ·	`T	$\mathbf{r}$			_	1		
- 11	 11	ìΕ	11	277	ıd	HC	٠I٥	701	m
	 ,	112	1,	av	ı (ı	1.(	,,,	<b>`\</b> \	

DATATREASURY  V. \$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11CV108  U.S. BANCORP  ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF: Anthony Bruster; Ben King; Nelson Roach; Shephard Lane  ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;  LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	V. \$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11CV108  U.S. BANCORP \$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11CV108  U.S. BANCORP \$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11CV108  ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF: Anthony Bruster; Ben King; Nelson Roach; Shephard Lane  ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;  LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern  10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point  10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;  10:35 Feldhaus/ address' the ct;  10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30th also;	veb et buviu i eisem								
V. \$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11CV108  U.S. BANCORP  ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF: Anthony Bruster; Ben King; Nelson Roach; Shephard Lane  ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;  LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern  10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point  10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;  10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	V. \$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11CV108  U.S. BANCORP  ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF: Anthony Bruster; Ben King; Nelson Roach; Shephard Lane  ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;  LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN: 10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct; 10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30th also;	DATATREASURY	§ .							
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF: Anthony Bruster; Ben King; Nelson Roach; Shephard Lane  ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;  LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF: Anthony Bruster; Ben King; Nelson Roach; Shephard Lane  ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;  LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct; 10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30th also;	V.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11CV108							
Shephard Lane  ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;  LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern  10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;  10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	Shephard Lane  ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;  LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern  10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point  10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;  10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;  10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30th also;	U.S. BANCORP	§ §							
Shephard Lane  ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;  LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern  10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;  10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	Shephard Lane  ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;  LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern  10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point  10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;  10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;  10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30th also;									
LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	LAW CLERK: Evelyn Chen  COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct; 10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30th also;	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF:								
COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	COURT REPORTER: Libby Crawford  COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct; 10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30th also;	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT:	John Feldhaus; Guy Harrison;							
COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mel Martin  SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldhaus/ address' the ct; 10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30th also;	LAW CLERK:	Evelyn Chen							
SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	SCHEDULING CONFERENCE September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct; 10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30th also;	COURT REPORTER:	Libby Crawford							
September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.  OPEN:10:32 ADJOURN: 10:44  10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (sp); date for Markman or trial setting; 10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern 10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point 10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct; 10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30th also;	COURTROOM DEPUTY:	Mel Martin							
<ul> <li>10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern</li> <li>10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point</li> <li>10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;</li> <li>10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman orders govern</li> <li>10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point</li> <li>10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;</li> <li>10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;</li> <li>10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30<sup>th</sup> also;</li> </ul>	September 19, 2011 @ 10:30 a.m.								
<ul> <li>10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point</li> <li>10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;</li> <li>10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point</li> <li>10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;</li> <li>10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;</li> <li>10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30<sup>th</sup> also;</li> </ul>	10:32 ct opens; ct/ Randle Patents (	sp); date for Markman or trial setting;							
<ul> <li>10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;</li> <li>10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;</li> <li>10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;</li> <li>10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30<sup>th</sup> also;</li> </ul>	10:33 Bruster/ prior Markman order	rs govern							
set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;  10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	set one; by Friday 9/30th let me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012;  10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;  10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30 <sup>th</sup> also;	10:33 Feldhaus/ would hate to stipulate at this point								
is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	is necessary or not; suggest setting it for trial in October of 2012; 10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct; 10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30 <sup>th</sup> also;	0:33 ct/ give it some thought and if no requested tweaking of prior order then we don't need to								
10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;	10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct; 10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30 <sup>th</sup> also;	set one; by Friday 9/30th let	me know by letter wether you suggest a new Markman hrg							
	10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30 <sup>th</sup> also;	is necessary or not; suggest	setting it for trial in October of 2012;							
	•	10:35 Feldlhaus/ address' the ct;								
10:35 ct/ file motion by the 30 <sup>th</sup> also;	10.05 T 11 / 11 1 1									
10:35 Feldhaus/ address' the ct	10:35 Feldhaus/ address' the ct	10:35 Feldhaus/ address' the ct								

- 10:36 ct/ if both ptys agree it should be stayed, if opposed then I will either stay or not; have motion to stay;
- 10:36 Bruster/ different details;
- 10:37 ct/ Randle group
- 10:37 Bruster/ conferred on this, dfts need status conference in that new case; plf would like to follow model when Ballard stay was lifted;
- 10:38 Feldhaus/ situation is different from the first case;
- 10:38 ct/ totally new set of claims; what do ptys suggest; want to brief this issue; initial thoughts would be inclined to enter a new scheduling order and treat it as a new case;
- 10:39 Bruster/ address' the ct;
- 10:39 ct/ where were we with it when it was severed;
- 10:39 Feldhause/responds;
- 10:40 ct/ had we conducted a claim construction of the Randle; by same deadline, 9/30th, submit short briefs and 5 days to reply; no more than 10 pages; same time frame submit competing scheduling orders;
- 10:41 Feldhaus/ are we going to be the only dfts in the Randle case;
- 10:42 Bruster/ responds;
- 10:42 ct/ would be useful to the ct to know
- 10:42 Feldhaus/ if The Clearinghouse is going to be in I would like to confer with them about the scheduling order
- 10:43 Bruster/ responds
- 10:43 ct/ in brief give as much guidance as possible re: more ptys being added; all other settling dfts were released; at most it would only be Clearinghouse; will try to have something out as quickly as possible;
- 10:44 recess